UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

MARQUEZ CRENSHAW, Petitioner, v. HARRY STEWARD, Warden, Respondent.))) NO. 3:09-CV-0710) JUDGE HAYNES)	MASIL Men otherwent and the sonophone with the andrig rebrung 19 20/0 1/2 NO 14 15 Set for a heavy on June
JOINT STAT	EMENT OF THE PARTIES	himmyon Jul
On May 4, 2010, this Court issued	d an Order directing the parties to f	23/220
as to their positions on discovery and oth	er matters by June 14, 2010. (D.E.	No. 30.) That joint,
statement is hereby submitted in complia	nce with this Court's Order.	IN THE PARTY OF TH
Before addressing the points that	the Court has identified, the parties	s note that the

Before addressing the points that the Court has identified, the parties note that the Petitioner Marquez Crenshaw is filing on June 14, 2010, a motion for leave to file his second amended petition. That amended petition aims to more specifically describe the *Napue* and *Brady* claims that Crenshaw has already raised because Crenshaw has recently discovered additional documents pertaining to those claims.

A. Discovery

1. Petitioner's Position

Today the petitioner is filing with this Court a motion for discovery. His independent discovery efforts have established that the state's key witness at trial deliberately testified falsely to inculpate the petitioner, and that the prosecutor and police files contain exculpatory documents that were not disclosed to trial counsel and that show that the key witness perjured himself. On